

Attorney's Docket No. 80,172
Application No. 09/653,413
Page 16

REMARKS

Claims 1-23, 26, 28, 30, 32-39 and 44 are cancelled, new claims are presented in this paper. Claims 24-25, 27, 29, 31, 40-43, and 45-73 are pending in the application.

The Examiner is thanked for the indication that the drawings submitted on December 23, 2004 are acceptable.

The Office Action indicated that Claims 52 and 53 were objected to as depending from non-allowed claims, but that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 52 and 53 have been rewritten in independent form to include the features of their base claim 23 and the intervening claim 26. Claims 23 and 26 have been cancelled. Claims 24-27, 29, 31, 45, 46, 48-51, 54-55 have been amended to depend from allowable claim 53. New dependent claims 56-59 and 65-73 depend from allowable claim 52.

The Office Action set forth an objection to claim 51 as containing a typographical error. Claim 51 has been amended to correct the error.

The Office Action set forth a rejection of claims 23 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph and indicated that "the geospatial data" lacked antecedent basis. Claim 31 has been amended to correct this informality and claims 52, 53, and 60 have been written to avoid this informality.

The Office Action set forth a rejection of claim 45 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter not described in the specification in such a way as to allow one skilled in the art to which it pertains to make and/or use the invention. The language "without

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Attorney's Docket No. 80,172
Application No. 09/653,413
Page 17

executing separate software in the client computer" has been removed from Claim 45. The corresponding new claim 65 that depends from claim 52 has also been written without this language.

Independent claims 31 and 40 have each been amended to include the features set forth in allowable independent claim 53. Similarly, new claims 60 and 61 correspond to claims 31 and 40, however, include the language of allowable independent claim 52.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration, reexamination, and allowance of the present application is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would assist in resolving any issues or expedite prosecution, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 4, 2005

By: Sally A. Ferrett
Sally A. Ferrett
Registration No. 46,325

Naval Research Laboratory
Office of Associate Counsel (Patents)
4555 Overlook Ave., SW 20375
(202) 404-1551

BEST AVAILABLE COPY